



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,212	03/23/2004	Taras Shkvarchuk	ODVFP007	3936
22434 7590 12/02/2009 Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250				
EXAMINER MCLEOD, MARSHALL M				
ART UNIT 2457		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 12/02/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/808,212	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHKVARCHUK ET AL.	
		<b>Examiner</b> MARSHALL MCLEOD	<b>Art Unit</b> 2457	

**All Participants:**

(1) MARSHALL MCLEOD.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 November 2009

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) Joseph M. Villeneuve.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Informed applicant's attorney that claims 22 and 43 depended upon subsequent claims 34 and 55 respectively and as such there dependency must be corrected. Applicant's attorney authorized the examiner to make the necessary corrections.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)